Performance Appraisal Of Diverse Tuning Strategies And Controller For A Cylindrial Tank

S.Abirami[1], A.S.Zahir Hussain[2], D.Saravana muthu[3,] C.B.Aravind Kumar[4]

Assistant professor, Department of Instrumentation and control Engineering, Saranathan College of Engineering,

Trichy, India[1]

B.E final year, Department of Instrumentation and control Engineering, Saranathan college of Engineering, Trichy,

India[2,3,4]

Abstract: The analysis study has been done for a First Order Plus Delay Time (FOPDT) model controlled by Proportional Integral Derivative (PID), proportional integral(PI),Internal Model Controller(IMC) and Model Predictive Control (MPC) using MATLAB software. The study has been done for both MPC and conventional control methods to design the controller for the level tank system and the results has been compared in terms of rise time, settling time and maximum overshoot. The conventional PID controller gives corrective action only after error has developed but not in advance but MPC provides corrective action in advance. The objective of this study is to investigate the Model predictive control (MPC) strategy, analyze and compare the control effects with conventional control strategy in maintaining a water level system. A Comparison between the performance of Conventional controller and MPC Controller has been performed in which MPC Controller gives better system parameter in terms of Risetime(tr), Settling time(ts) and maximum overshoot(Mp).

Keywords: PID Controller, Model Predictive Control, MATLAB, Level Process.

I.   INTRODUCTION

Due to the fast development of process industry, the requirements of higher product quality, better product function, and quicker adjustments to the market change have become much stronger, which lead to a demand of a very successful controller design strategy, both in theory and practice. Now a day's control systems engineers in the industry are using computer aided control systems design for modeling, system identification and estimation. These make a way to study MATLAB software tools and also becoming indispensable for teaching control systems theory and its applications. By adopting simulations the students may easily visualize the effect of adjusting different parameters of a system and the overall performance of the system can be viewed. In this paper it is demonstrated how to create a model predictive control for a first order system with time delay in a MATLAB environment and also explains the difference between MPC and conventional controller.

A lot of industrial applications of liquid level control are used now a day's in food processing, nuclear power generation plant, industrial chemical processing and pharmaceutical industries etc. Liquid level control systems mainly control the manipulated parameter of liquid level, which in industry have a wide range of applications in various fields.

In the industrial production process, there are many places where liquid levels have to be controlled and then manipulate the liquid level to maintain accurately for a given value. The traditional method is to use classical PID method and the advanced control strategy includes Model Predictive Controller. In this paper the tuning has been done using Z-N Method and results have been compared between PI, PID and Model Predictive method[8].

II.   EXPERIMENT DESCRIPTION

The process setup consists of a supply water tank fitted with pump for water circulation. The level sensor is fitted on a transparent process tank which is controlled by adjusting water flow to the tank by pneumatic control valve. These units along with necessary piping and fittings are mounted in support housing designed to stand on bench top. The control cubicle houses process indicator or microcontroller, output indicator, power supply for level transmitter, control switches etc., the process parameter is controlled through computer or microprocessor controller by manipulating water flow to the process. The controller used here is direct controller, since it increases in error when the controller output increases.

SPECIFICATION:

Product	Level control trainer
Product code	313 313A
Type of control	DDC SCADA
Control unit	Interfacing unit with control module with digitalADC/DAC conversion indicating controller
Communication	RS232
Level transmitter	Type capacitance,two wire,range 0-300 mm,output 4-20Ma
I/P converter	Input 4-20Ma,output 3-15 psig
Control valve	Type neumatic;size:1/4",Input:3-15 psig, air to close, characteristics: linear
Rotameter	10-100 LPH
Pump	Fractional horse power,type centrifugal
Process tank	Transparent, Acrylic,with 0-100% graduated scale
Supply tank	SS304
Air filter regulator	Range 0-2.5 kg\cm2
Pressure gauge	Range 0-2.5 g\cm2(1no),Range0-7 kg\cm2(1no)
Overall dimensions	440Wx445Dx750H mm
Optional:	Mini Compressor

Controller Tuning :

A systematic-adjusting procedure of the controller parameters to obtain a desired performance of the control system.

TuningMethod Tuning of a PID involves the adjustment of Kp, Ki and Kd to achieve some user-defined 'optimal' character of a system response.

- ✓ Ziegler -Nichols closed loop Tuning method
- ✓ C-H-R Tuning Method
- ✓ Cohen coon tuning method

Z-N Closed Loop Tuning Method :

Controller standardization is a method of adjusting the management parameters such as the proportional gain, integral gain and spinoff gain. Controller standardization is required to urge the required management response. Generally stability of response is required and the process must not oscillate for any combination of process conditions and set points. There are various PID tuning methods are available. Among these methods Z-N method performs well. This traditional method, also known as the

closed-loop method (or) on-line tuning method was proposed by Ziegler and Nichols. Z-N Method determines the dynamic characteristics of the control loop and estimates the controller tuning parameters that produces a desired response for the dynamic characteristics[12].

The tuning formula for Z-N method is shown in table.1

Controller	Kc	Ki	Kd
Proportional	0.5ku		
Integral	0.45ku	Pu/1.2	
Derivative	0.6ku	Pu/2	Pu/8

TABLE 1: Ziegler–Nichols tuning method.

The Z-N method is more robust because it does not require a specific process model[12]. Using Z-N method the transfer function for the level process is computed as:

Transfer function = $2.166\,e\,\text{-}2s/160s+1$

## III. RESPONSE CURVE

Cohen Coon Tuning Method :

In this method the process reaction curve is obtained first, then the process dynamics is approximated by a first order plus dead time model, with following parameters.This method that proposed by Dr C. L. Smith [15] provides a good approximation to process reaction curve by first order plus dead time model After determining of three parameters of P,I and D the controller parameters can be obtained, using Cohen-Coon [14] relations. The value of P,I,D is as follow as,

P=49.164
I=4.8968
D=2.3628

The C-H-R Method:

This method that has proposed by Chien, Hrones and Reswich [13] is a modification of open loop Ziegler and Nichols method. To tune the controller according to the CH-R method the parameters of first orderplus dead time model are determined in the same manner of the Z-N method. After determining of three parameters of P,I and D the controller parameters can be obtained, using C-H-R Method relations. The value of P,I,D is as follow as,

P=35.08
I=4.8
D=0.84

Cohen Coon Tuning Method:

In this method the process reaction curve is obtained first, then the process dynamics is approximated by a first order plus dead time model, with following parameters. This method that proposed by Dr C. L. Smith [15] provides a good approximation to process reaction curve by first order plus dead time model After determining of three parameters of P,I and D the controller parameters can be obtained, using Cohen-Coon [14] relations. The value of P,I,D is as follow as,

$$P = 49.164$$
$$I = 4.8968$$
$$D = 2.3628$$

The C-H-R Method:

This method that has proposed by Chien, Hrones and Reswich [13] is a modification of open loop Ziegler and Nichols method. To tune the controller according to the CH-R method the parameters of first orderplus dead time model are determined in the same manner of the Z-N method. After determining of three parameters of P,I and D the controller parameters can be obtained, using C-H-R Method relations. The value of P,I,D is as follow as,

$$P = 35.08$$
$$I = 4.8$$
$$D = 0.84$$

IV. PROPORTIONAL INTEGRAL (PI) CONTROLLER

PI controller eliminates forced oscillations and steady state error resulting in operation of on-off controller and P controller respectively. However, introducing integral mode has a negative effect on speed of the response and overall stability of the system. Thus, PI controller does not increase the speed of response and also it does not predicts what will happen with the error in near future[2]. This problem is solved by introducing derivative mode which has ability to predict what will happen with the error in near future and thus to decrease a reaction time of the controller. PI controllers are very often used in industry, especially when speed of the response is not an issue

Block Diagram of PID Controller

PROPORTIONAL INTEGRAL DERVATIVE (PID) CONTROLLER:

PID is the Proportional-Integral-Derivative controller. PID controllers are widely used in various process industries and industrial control applications due to their effectiveness and simplicity[2]. Complex industrial control systems uses the control network in which main control building block is a PID controller. PID controller has survived the changes of technology from the analog era into the digital computer control system in a satisfactory way. PID controller is a type of feedback controller whose output, control variable (CV) is based on the error (e) between user defined set-point (SP) and measured process variable (PV).

MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROLLER(MPC):

Model Predictive Control is an advanced method of process control that has been used in process industries such as chemical plants, refining/petrochemical industries and oil refineries[11]. Model predictive controllers rely on dynamic models of the process and most often linear empirical models obtained by system identification. Model predictive control (MPC) refers to a class of computer control algorithms that utilize an explicit process model to predict the future response of a plant[11]. At each control interval a MPC algorithm attempts to optimize future plant behavior by computing a sequence of future manipulated variable adjustments. The first input in the optimal sequence is then sent into the plant, and the entire calculation is repeated at subsequent control intervals.

Model predictive control (MPC) is a technique that focuses on constructing controllers that adjusts the control action before a change in the output set point actually occurs. This predictive ability, when combined with traditional feedback operation, enables a controller to make adjustments that are smoother and closer to the optimal control action values. MPC consists of an optimization problem at each time instants, k. The main point of this optimization problem is to compute a new control input vector to be fed to the system and at the same time take process constraints into considerations. An MPC algorithm consists of a Cost function, Constraints, Model of the process[11].

The key to success of MPC is good process model. Model identification is the most time consuming and difficult task in MPC projects and maintenances.

Fig : Structure of MPC Controller

The Prediction and Control Horizons are shown in fig

For time k the MPC controller predicts the plant output for time k+Np . We see from the figure that the control action does not change after the control horizon ends.

The first input in the optimal sequence is sent to the plant and the entire calculation is repeated at subsequent control intervals. For each iteration the prediction horizon is moving forward in time and the MPC controller again predicts the plant output.

V.   RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

As discussed above the simulation block diagram were implemented in MATLAB environment using three controllers which includes PI controller, PID controller and MPC controller. These controllers have different responses for the unit step input. The response of the controller is taken for further analysis.

The effects of the PID control parameters are shown in table 2.

PID control parameter	Rise time	Over shoot	Settling time	stability
Kp	Decrease	Increase	Small Change	Reduce
Ki	Decrease	Increase	Increase	Reduce
Kd	Small Decrease	Decrease	Decrease	Small Change

TABLE 2: Effects of changing control parameters.

From the response curve the time domain specifications such as rise time, % over shoot and settling time values were obtained and it is tabulated in table 3.The results prove that MPC controller has less rise time, settling time and maximum overshoot than conventional controller.

Time domain stipulations	PI	PID	MPC
Rise time(tr)sec	4.50	4.06	3.94
Settling time (ts)sec	44.20	43.5	35.6
Peak overshoot(Mp)%	75.78	63.25	38.71

Response Curve:

VI.   CONCLUSION

This paper presents the set of simulations for FOPDT process. When controlled using PID and MPC controller, conventional controller controls only the current process variables whereas the predictive controller controls the current and also the future process variables. The use of MPC controller improves performance to a great extent. The simulation results obtained are used to do the required modifications in control system industry for optimal control. The future of MPC technology is intense because of its wide application in process industry. In the output response it is found that settling time, rise time, steady state error is less in the case of MPC controller than conventional controllers.

REFERENCES

[1]   [1]   System Identification and Comparison of Ziegler-Nichols and Genetic Algorithm for Moisture Process. H.Kala1, S.Abirami2, S.M.GirirajKumar3
[2]   Wikipedia,   "PID   controller", http://en.eikipedia.org/wiki/PID_controller.
[3]   J. B. Ziegler and N. B. Nichols, "Optimum settings for automatic controllers", ASME Transactions, v64, pp. 759-768, 1942.
[4]   James B. Rawlings, "Tutorial Overview of Model Predictive Control", IEEE Control System Magazine, June 2000.
[5]   Wikipedia,   "Model   predictive   control", http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Model_predictive_control.
[6]   Ziegler, J.G., N. B. Nicholas, 1942. "Optimum setting for automatic controller", Transaction ASME, 64:759-768.
[7]   Kala.H, Abirami.S, Muthumari.S, Venkatesh.S, 2012. Model Identification Comparison of Different Controllers for Humidity Process, International Conference on Electrical
[8]   D.Mercy1, S.M.Girirajkumar2"Tuning of controllers for nonlinear process using intelligent techniques", IJAREEIE Vol. 2, Issue 9, September 2013
[9]   Morari, M. and J. Lee, "Model Predictive Control: Past, Present and Future", Comp. Chem. Eng., 21, 667-682, 1999.
[10] G. Stephanopoulos, Chemical Process Control: An Introduction to Theory and Practice, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ,USA, 1984.
[11] Dr.v.Balaji,"Study of Model Predictive Control using NI LabVIEW", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, Volume 3,Issue 2, july-December (2012),pp.257-266.
[12] Aidan o" Dwyer, "Hand book of PI and PID controller tuning rules",3rd edition.
[13] Astrom K,J, T. Hagllund; "PID controllers Theory, Design and Tuning ",2nd edition,Instrument Society of America,1994
[14] Seborg, D.E., T.F. Edgar, D.A. Mellichamp; "Process Dynamics and Control",John Wiley & sons,1989.
[15] Smith,C.A., A.B. Copripio; "Principles and Practice of Automatic Process Control",John Wiley & Sons,1985.